



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/646,274 08/22/2003 Gust H. Bardy 020.0340.US.CON 9456 EXAMINER 10/25/2004 Law Offices of Patrick J.S. Inouye EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT Suite 258 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 810 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 3762

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/646,274	BARDY, GUST H.	$ \mathcal{O}_{l_{j}}$
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George R Evanisko	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rein If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	<u>August 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-85 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of the control o	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	∆ □ Intoi 0	(PTO 442)	
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>multiple</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification does not include the term "absence" when referring to the analysis or analysis module testing the patient for ischemia. The specification does not include the term "hysteresis threshold" (the specification uses "hysteresis" or "stickiness threshold").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 13, 25, 33, 49, 65, 77, 81, and 85, "against a predetermined indicator threshold, including at least one hysteresis threshold" is vague since it can not be determined if the phrase "including at least one hysteresis threshold" is related to the indicator threshold or the testing against the patient status change. In addition, it unclear if the "hysteresis threshold" is the same as the stickiness threshold. If the hysteresis threshold is the same as the stickiness threshold, it can not be related to the indicator threshold since they are different. Also, claims 6, 18, 30, 46, 62, and 74, should be clarified to state that the "hysteresis threshold comprises a set of stickiness...." if the hysteresis threshold is the same as the stickiness threshold.

Art Unit: 3762

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-85 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-85 of U.S. Patent No. 6368284. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims meet the limitations of the application claims. In addition, to include a server to perform the retrieving and processing in the patented claims would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since it was known in the art for a monitoring system to use a server to retrieve and process the data since a server would provide an automated and efficient means to quickly process, retrieve, and display the data and prognosis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

10/22/4

GRE October 22, 2004